

PRESENT: David Stringfellow, Chairman
Robert Chelus, Vice Chairman
Jennifer Lucachik, Secretary
Cathy Maghran
Dr. Paul Ziarnowski

ABSENT: Dave Bowen
Keith Clauss
Tony Zeniuk

DRAFT

ALSO	Michael Kobiolka	Town Attorney
PRESENT:	Thelma Faulring	Secretary to the Boards and Committees
	Richard Brox	Planning Consultant
	Viki DelCotto	8038 Boston State Road - Buffalo Buck's Smokehouse Restaurant
	Ron Yormick	6405 Deanna Drive
	Henry Prentki	6474 Willow Drive
	Marian Schiralli	6410 Deanna Drive
	Mark Schiralli	6410 Deanna Drive
	Sean Hopkins	5500 Main St., Suite 100 Amherst, NY 14221
	Joe Gauthier	1900 Bullis Road
	Joe Palumbo	487 Main St., Suite 600 Buffalo, NY 14203
	Dana Darling	6540 Omphalius Road

Mr. Stringfellow called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM and asked for a moment of silence for the victims of 9/11.
Mr. Stringfellow advised the members that Keith Clauss would not be in attendance because of knee surgery.

MINUTES

Mr. Stringfellow: Are there any corrections to the minutes of August 14, 2012?
Being none Mr. Chelus made a motion to accept all minutes, seconded by Mrs. Maghran and carried.

GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE

Secretary Faulring reported:

- Code Enforcement Officer Ferguson's end of month report for August
- Updated Planning Board membership list
- Other correspondence at point

8038 BOSTON STATE ROAD – BUFFALO BUCK'S SMOKEHOUSE RESTAURANT

Mr. Stringfellow: Buffalo Buck's is here to request installation of a sign.

Viki DelCotto: I talked to the engineer and because we are so short on distance between our building and the easement, he recommended:

- keeping the parking much the same as it is, just backing it up; it's pretty much exactly the way it is right now. And if you look at the sign it does show where the sign is in conjunction with the telephone pole to try to prevent people from using the parking area as a turn around and with the telephone pole where it is and the sign with the flower bed around it and that being a total of six by nine, that will prevent people from using it as a big turn-around because of the way the parking spots, there will be parking spots on both sides of that; it was the best way he said to maintain the parking spaces, we actually gained three parking spaces by rearranging it this way; he said we could get more parking spaces in the back if we needed it, but to maintain parking spaces and try to accomplish everything that I threw at him with your concerns, this is what he said was actually the best
- in the spring adding concrete barriers in to protect the patio
- we have rearranged the parking so that we don't have to go to the Zoning Board of Appeals

Mr. Brox: On your plan you don't show a 5-foot dimension between the property line and the edge of the planter.
Ms. DelCotto: I apologize I did forget to tell him to put that 5-feet in, but it will be there.

Mrs. Lucachik: I pulled in trying to visualize where the planter would be and don't believe I would be able to back out of a parking space with the proposed planter location and size.

Ms. DelCotto: regarding the planter/sign:

- We drew the planter as big as we could
- could go smaller, I believe it's 6 by 9
- want to install sign with new name this month
 - we will be marking out the location and size this month
 - will do what works
 - could go down to 4 feet wide
- may enlarge handicap parking spaces
- may rearrange parking by slanting parking spaces
- could move the planter up a little bit

Mr. Stringfellow: It may be a good idea to angle the spaces, but will still have to meet Code.

Discussion followed regarding backing out of parking spaces.

Mr. Stringfellow: The approval requires you to tell us where it's going to be.

Ms. DelCotto: I can take it down from 6-foot to 4-foot, I don't have an issue with that, making it narrower; we can shift over five feet so that those two spots next to the cross-hatch there, the walkway so those are wider to give you more room that way and then we can go ahead and take the planter down to four feet instead of six feet; so that you're gaining seven feet of width.

Mr. Brox: I would leave it exactly the way it is rather than screwing around with a foot here, a foot there in terms of their approval; leave it exactly the way it is, or she's coming back in a month with another drawing which I'm sure she doesn't want to have to do.

Dr. Ziarnowski: Are the concrete barriers adequate for protection for the people on the patio?

Ms. DelCotto: The engineer said that if we get the ones that are almost the full width and he seemed to think that yes they were and we have not had any problems so far and it does come down in the winter time.

Mr. Brox: Most 6-inch wheel stops will stop anything that's parking.

Ms. DelCotto: The wheel stops will be there all year long, even when the patio is down for the winter.

Mr. Stringfellow: The sign – is it illuminated from the inside or are there lights shining on to the sign?

Ms. DelCotto: The bulbs are inside the sign; there is no spotlight it's all inside the sign.

Mr. Stringfellow: Okay. The dimension of the sign from the top of the concrete base to the bottom of the sign shows 36 inches, from there to the top of the sign shows 62 inches, those numbers don't match what is on your sign information; however as you show it now the distance from the ground level to the top of the sign is 9'10" and the Code only allows 9 feet, you can be seven feet above your base, but you have a limit of a total of 9 feet.

Ms. DelCotto: 9 feet max. okay we can do that no problem.

Discussion followed regarding the smoke/vapor coming out of the chimney on the sign. Is that the same as a moving or flashing things on signs?

It was determined that it was in violation of Town Code.

Mr. Stringfellow: I will make a motion to recommend approval of the sign based on the conditions that the planter is to be narrowed allowing enough space for parkers to back and the maximum height of the sign will not exceed the 9 foot limit.

Mrs. Maghran: I second.

All were in favor of the motion.

Ms. DelCotto: Just one more sign question – the sign on the building, 'Buffalo Buck's' is there any reason, because the sign is already there that we can't just put the exact same panel sign up there to 'The Woodshed.' It would be the same size as what is there.

Mr. Chelus: If you're just changing the covering and not adding any lights or anything, that's okay.

PROPOSED BROOKFIELD PATIO HOMES – PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW

Mr. Stringfellow: As I did last time Mr. Darling was before us with something I want to put it on record that I do rent office space in a building in which either he is part or full owner of, other than that I have no connection, no business connections with him, no financial stake in any of this.

BOSTON PLANNING BOARD

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

Mr. Stringfellow asked if there was a presentation to be made.

Sean Hopkins of the law firm of Hopkins and Sorgi. I'm here this evening on behalf of the co-applicants Dana Darling and Joe Gauthier, who are also here, as well as Joe Palumbo from the project engineering firm of Carmina, Wood and Morris. Mr. Hopkins gave a review of the history of the project:

- originally started with the request to rezone the entire site to accommodate a proposed mobile home park
- based on concerns that were received from this Board, as well as the adjoining property owners including those along Deanna Drive and to the north of the site
- we first made a change by which we only proposed mobile homes on the southern portion of the site and the extension of the Deanna Drive subdivision on the northern portion of the site
 - that was also not well received by the neighbors
- Finally in early 2011 we changed the site completely in what we proposed in what we call 'attached patio homes'
 - These are single story units
 - Will be rented
 - They include a garage
 - Typically about 1000 square feet
 - Dan and Joe did a lot of market research throughout communities in W.N.Y. and elsewhere and found that there's a real need for this type of housing
 - Target market for this type of housing is seniors, not exclusively seniors
 - We think there is a need for high quality senior single story footprint, attached garages in the Town of Boston and elsewhere in W.N.Y.
- On June 20th of this year the Town Board did rezone 21.63 acres of the property from R-A to R-3 to accommodate this project
 - That rezoning was subject to 13 conditions which are outlined in my letter to the Planning Board dated August 28, 2012
 - At that juncture the density of the project consisted of 104 units
 - Based on some refinements by Joe, Dana and Joe we have reduced the density of the project to 95 units
 - All the buildings are single story
 - One of the conditions imposed by the Town Board would not allow any building taller than a single story within 820 feet of Boston State Road, we are complying with that condition because of the fact that all of the buildings will be single story
 - At his juncture we have not submitted fully engineered plans Carmina, Wood and Morris are ready to proceed with that
 - Following your two step review process outlined in your checklist, what we wanted to obtain was your preliminary input so that Joe and his firm can take that back and make any necessary changes
 - It is necessary to point out that a lot of time has been spent evaluating this layout
 - One of the questions that came up at this Board and the Town Board – 'what if there is not enough demand for this project?' 'We don't want to see empty buildings sitting out there forever.'
 - The simple answer is that it will be built in phases
 - What Dana and Joe envision is that they will wait until they have demand and then they'll build probably, first phase will be two buildings, and then they will continue back
 - If there is never demand for say the last 10 units or the last 14 units, those buildings will simply not be built
 - Again we think that there is a strong demand for this project
 - Dana has already gotten a call from a citizen of this Town who heard about this project a year ago wanting to know the status, I'd like to consider moving in here once you get it open
 - So we confident there is a demand for these types of units
 - The other important part to consider this evening is the Deanna Drive extension
 - That's not before the Board this evening
 - That's treated as a separate project in terms of the previous review
 - We need a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for these lots which are roughly identical to the subdivision map that was previously recorded for that subdivision
 - We will be filing that application in the near future and that project will also come in front of you pursuant to your subdivision regulations
 - So that project is still on the table, we have just yet filed that application
 - With that being said we would like any preliminary input relative to this project and we look forward to hopefully undertaking an expeditious approval process
 - Dana and Joe would like to start site work this year.

DRAFT

BOSTON PLANNING BOARD

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

- Two other things that I'd like to note

\$

- At the time this project previously came in front of you, as well as the Town Board we were proposing just under 5/10 of an acre of wetland impact, based on some modifications that were made we're now down to 9/100 of an impact to the federal wetlands
- The second thing is you'll see several dumpsters shown on the site, Dana has reached out and determined that pick-up service would be available on this site, so we will not be proposing the dumpsters; there will be totes instead which much nicer aesthetically and easier for the residents, and each resident will have pick-up as a normal residence

For the remainder of this preliminary discussion the speakers will be identified as PBM = Planning Board member, Town Attorney, Town Board liaison or Town Planner or BPH = Brookfield Patio Homes

PBM: This is totally private on your part, right?

BPH: Everything's private. So this is what we're envisioning in terms of the architecture of the building; single story, we want to add a little decorative feature to break the massing of those garages, they will be on the front portion of the building; each will have a separate entrance; a little porch; we really think it will be an attractive site for those seniors looking to sell their homes but stay in the Town of Boston or stay in a great location in W.N. Y.

PBM: The picture shows trees at least in front of most of the buildings, you show no trees like that on your site plan.

BPH: The landscaping plan pursuant to the Town Code does require a plan to be prepared and signed by a licensed landscape architect, so that will be coming in the near future, we don't really have room for large trees in front of these units, but they're looking at some shrubs, etc.

PBM: Our Town engineer had a concern about the water capacity, were you going to check that out?

BPH: Water and sanitary we've been in contact with both the County DEP for sanitary and Water Authority for water service. We're in the process of monitoring the sanitary to have a downstream analysis done, the reports aren't back in yet but it is under way and Erie County has given us verbal agreements that we would have water pressure and there would be enough water pressure for the water demand.

PBM: Can you get that in writing for us?

BPH: Once we get it back from... the fully engineered set of plans is going to go to Mr. Hannon for his review and sign off and we're going to have to obtain those sign offs from the various involved agencies E.C. Water Authority, E.C. Health Department, E.C. Department of Environment and Planning, U.S Army Corps of Engineers and the E.C. Department of Public Works and that's all in progress.

PBM: 3 3-unit buildings that give you a total of 104 units, the 3 3-unit buildings are not shown on the chart; no phasing plan is shown, it was only explained, eventually you will have to show one for the patio homes and the subdivision; we've requested an emergency link between the developments on every occasion and still isn't one, we would like to see a 24 roadway; the first 3-unit group has only a 15foot rear yard, 30 feet is required at that corner if it stays, it that building stays because it's not supposed to be there; no details on the light standards have been provided; dumpsters have been eliminated; trees should be planted on both sides of the main road; one tree in the lawn area in front of each unit and plus trees in the sixty foot rear yards between the units; the possible need for a traffic study to show maybe there is a need for a signal at your private road and the State highway because of the age of the people pulling out, there isn't a great lot of sight distance.

BPH: Erie County Department of Public Works which has jurisdiction over this portion of Boston State Roadway did look at the project in connection of the rezoning and issued a comment letter stating their opinion that it would not have a significant impact on the County highway; I could ask them about a light but I know we're never going to get one.

PBM: The Town can also demand a light.

BPH: We can follow-up on that.

PBM: Are there going to be basic street lights going down there and then individual units going to have lighting on?

BPH: There are lights in front of the units and staggered back and forth; they're top-mounted, along the drive isle that comes in the lights are on the opposite and they're shoebox lights with house shields on them so it reflects the light onto the site not off of the site, so you're looking along the northern property line all those lights have house shields on them.

BPH: A photometric plan will be submitted with the full engineer set and will demonstrate compliance with the Town standards. We have one put together it wasn't submitted with this package.

Mr. Stringfellow: Is there any Board member that has anything to add?

PBM: All the wetlands, you are not affecting...?

BOSTON PLANNING BOARD

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

BPH: All the dark gray is wetlands; the only impacted area you'll see is crosshatched. And that has been submitted to the Army Corps of Engineers for their review and we are 100% confident based on the effort that has been made to reduce that impact to 9/100 of an acre.

Mr. Stringfellow: We'll open it to the people that are here if you have something that you wish to say; please keep it brief, and state your name.

Ron Yormick – Deanna Drive

I just want to know how this affects the Deanna Drive property, is this going to be done altogether or do we just...how does it affect the Deanna Drive property?

BPH: The project that's before the Board this evening is just the patio homes. But as I indicated we have a sketch plan prepared, we planning to file a variance application on Thursday, that's got to be the first step because we don't comply with the lot.

Mr. Yormick: There's a few questions on the Deanna Drives property. Lot #6 has a telephone transformer in the backyard that's 10 by 20. All the site plans that I've seen, especially since I've been there 30 years and they've always had a road in lot 9 connecting to Willow Drive; and I'd like to see it...

BPH: We don't own that land, there's no way for us to do that.

Mr. Yormick: But all the site plans that I have seen there has been a connecting road there. I would just like to know, I'm all in favor of this but I just would like to know how Deanna Drive is going to be affected; when are we going to get sewer, when is, what's the timetable on this?

BPH: The timetable, not before the Board this evening, but we will be submitting a variance application, we have to go to the Zoning Board of Appeal. The lots comply with the required area, they don't comply with the required lot depth to the current Code, it's actually very similar to the subdivision map that was originally filed; so once we do that, if we're successful, then we'll proceed through the Town's subdivision approval process which is through sketch plan, preliminary plat and final plat; so we expect that this project in terms of the approval process will take much longer than this one, and that's just because of the process involved. And just for clarification we are not proposing connection into the adjacent subdivision: #1 we don't think it's necessary; #2 we don't own the land to accommodate it; #3 perhaps most importantly we're highly confident the adjoining subdivision owners would not like to see that connection.

Mr. Yormick: I just thought for safety reasons, if something happens on top of Deanna Drive there's no way of getting out; if anything happens on your private property there's no way of getting out, if anything happens at the top of Thornwood Drive there's no way of getting out of all that subdivision.

BPH: The Town has expressed an interest a cross access between the two parcels and we can accommodate that.

PBM: The Planning Board has discussed in the past the fact that this whole part of the valley is growing up long dead-end streets off the State Road and we would like to see some meandering streets, north and south, parallel to the State Road but connecting each subdivision; some of them are already built and there's not much we can do but I would echo his comment that we would like to see connections both north and south so...

BPH: Well we're not going to connect into the mobile home park, I don't think there's room to do that. This is all wetlands we can't run a roadway through there, absolutely not, and again, we don't own any land this way.

PBM: If the Town wants a stub on lot 6, that will be an issue.

BPH: I ran through the numbers and those 3 units were no accounted for on the list, but they are totaled in the number 95; they are not in the break down, the total 95 is good.

PBM: Is that road coming out of there going to cut right to the main highway?

BPH: See there's just a touch of a skew, he wanted it to come into Boston State Road at a 90° angle, so that's why we did that. It's going to have its own curb cut.

PBM: This is all being maintained for snow privately?

BPH: Yes. Dana and Joe envision developing this site and being its long time owners.

PBM: Snow load – where is it going?

BPH: we'll have to back track the snow out of everybody's driveway, push the snow down the main driveway and out the back. We've got pickup trucks, we've got loaders, we've got push blades, little bobcats with snow blowers on the front; the same way that everybody else that has the same sort of complex.

PBM: Are there going to areas for guest parking? Each place has parking for two cars?

BPH: Yes, which complies with the Code.

BOSTON PLANNING BOARD

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

PBM: If somebody has a party going on, where do they go?

Discussion followed on providing some guest parking area in each phase; side road parking; parking spots at the end of lots. .

BPH: We will take a look at that. We will not allow parking on the main driveway so that it will be open for emergency access if the need arises.

PBM Built on slab, not on basement?

5

BPH: Yes.

PBM: So there's no storage other than closets; are there going to be attics?

BPH: No.

PBM: Elevations of proposed building improvements; heights; exterior surfaces; because this is just preliminary do we need that right now?

BPH: Dana does have some detail elevations;

PBM: They are not in this plan, but they did bring them tonight.

PBM: Possibility of installing a walkway, a recreational trail?

BPH: Possibly a chipped wood pathway; we can check into that further, we've talked about that.

PBM: Have you considered a little meeting center, a community center in this whole plan?

BPH: We did at first but it isn't shaping up; really to hard to justify.

BPH: We found that in area like Hamburg, Amherst, Orchard Park those community rooms largely go unused; there isn't enough density for them.

PBM: Maybe a gazebo, maybe some grills, a table, some outdoor area.

PBM: Are all the residents, this is the exact blueprint for all the residences?

BPH: At this time layout of every unit is going to be the same. Once we get the first one built, we might get some input from the people looking at them, we might make some modifications on some of the interior layout; that lay out not the footprint.

PBM: Do you have plans to make any of these handicapped accessible?

BPH: I think the State Code requires everything to be handicapped accessible after a certain amount of units. We will have to check further into the requirements.

BPH: I think the State Code requires in a housing development, each building requires a certain amount units per building...

BPH: The grading outside the building so far has been set up that it is all within limits for immediate accessibility from the exterior side; the inside of the building will fall under the building code review to meet the ADA requirements. The majority of the units we've got, there are no stairway entrances. The front doors are at ground level and a six inch step. We will look at that as we go forward.

PBM: Something to look at, possibility a couple of units instead of two bathrooms only one large handicapped bathroom.

BPH: 5 by 8 is not big enough.

BPH: Envisioning healthy seniors who sell their big homes and stay in here as long as they are able, until the time comes that they may have to move to a health related facility.

BPH: The new configuration of the bathroom is about 10 foot by 8 foot 10.

PBM: But you do have one step going in?

BPH: Yes it's a six-inch step which would be easy to modify. You do have to have a six inch step from the garage into the home, for safety purposes. There is sidewalk right from the driveway to the front door.

PBM: What is the negative impact on the Town? They're not plowing; they're not picking up garbage; what is the cost to the Town of this project?

BPH: I think it's very clear overall that we will have a net positive impact. What you will see is an increase in emergency call; more traffic. This is all privately infrastructure and financed. The big expense and any potential development are school aged children and this portion of the project will not generate school aged children, or will be very minimal. Looking for seniors and young professionals.

BPH: I spoke at one of the senior groups meetings and have already received some calls of interest.

BOSTON PLANNING BOARD

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

PBM: Looking at that plat plan, a lot of the stubs streets that go down between the two apartments could be extended enough to provide a few extra parking spaces.

BPH: Right that's what we're looking at; almost a T-turnaround.

Mr. Yormick: Where's your mail house on this property, pertaining to mail service?

BPH: We haven't really discussed that.

BPH: Ron, you would probably know better than anyone.

Mr. Yormick: You still have to put up a cluster box.

BPH: With a cluster box we'd have to put an RPZ unit in for the water. We've thought about a little building with a roof, something so that you can kind of drive your car off the road, get out and step up and get your mail. That's one thought that we've had.

PBM: The area before the stop sign if that could be looked for possible bus stop area and/or space stop. Metro bus.

PBM: There's only one stop at the Park-n-Ride in N. Boston.

PBM: In the front part, what is that swale, what is that going to be?

BPH: The Berm, that's part of the 13 conditions of the Town Board, to screen it from the road.

Mr. Stringfellow: Let's close discussion until a further submission is made.

Mrs. Lucachik: I'll make that a motion.

Dr. Ziarnowski: I'll second that.

All were in favor of the motion

Mr. Hopkins: Can we be on your next agenda, when is that.

Secretary Faulring: Then next meeting is September 25. If you want to be on the agenda I want the plans on no later than Wednesday so I can get them ready for the mailing on Thursday.

DRAFT

LIASION – COUNCILMAN GENZEL

Mr. Genzel reported from the Town Board meeting of September 5, 2012.

- Tabled
 - Mill Street Storm drainage project
 - 18- Mile Creek Selective Clearing project
- Denied Mobile Home Park license to Richard Boyd
- Approved
 - Issued a Special Permit for a propane tank at Sharco on Mill Street
 - Hamburg Overhead Door with Town Engineer's approval of SWPPP
- Appointed Beverly Kent to the Zoning Board of Appeals as an Alternate member

Mr. Genzel: Are there any questions from the Planning Board that I can carry back to the Town Board?

Do you want me to address the member that hasn't shown up yet?

Mr. Stringfellow: Yes, I think it's time to address that. He didn't show up again tonight.

Mr. Genzel: We received Jennifer's (Lucachik) e-mail forwarded from Mike Kobiolka and my advice was to send him a letter that if he doesn't want to participate as he said to all of us that he would, then please resign.

Mr. Stringfellow: Are you saying the Planning Board send the letter or the Town Board?

Mr. Kobiolka: I think it should be the Planning Board.

Mr. Genzel: Yes, I think it should be from the Planning Board. I thought he would be a great asset to the Planning Board so I don't know what's up.

Mrs. Lucachik: Like I mentioned when he's here he provides good input, it's just bring him up to speed, it's hard for a new person when he's not coming.

Mr. Kobiolka: And now would be the perfect time with all these new projects coming in and it would be a good time for him to learn; we've got a subdivision coming in, we've got his project now so he can see the process.

Mr. Chelus: And tonight we only had five members, if one of us hadn't shown up we wouldn't have had a meeting.

He's our only alternate too.

Mr. Genzel: I don't know maybe a phone call would be more personable: I don't know, that's up to you.

Mrs. Lucachik: David and I can work on a letter. The other issue – Dollar General and their lighting. You can almost see it from the Google maps.

Mr. Chelus: It's only on the one side of the building, the parking lot side.

BOSTON PLANNING BOARD

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

Mr. Kobiolka: And in front of the building. I'm pretty sure that the Supervisor spoke to the Code Enforcement Officer and I know that the Code Enforcement Officer was going to have a discussion, how far that got or what the resolution was I don't know.

Mrs. Lucachik: In relation to that though, there's a demonstration of light pollution shielding that sort have and what I didn't find in our Code is specifying what we're looking for; because when you go to buy lights you cannot find a downcast environmental lights. So when I looked at this some counties actually specify, apparently we're not doing well enough in our Code, you don't need to give me your comments so I tried to find something to help new applicants so they either come back and say here's what we're doing, we get a picture of if, we get an idea of what we're doing and then we approve the lighting as part of the plans or something, it's just not working.

7

Mr. Brox: They've got to bring us a copy of their lighting, of what they're going to use. There are catalogs of styles of lighting.

Mr. Stringfellow: But when you buy exterior lighting like that you can get in the documentation the illumination pattern; it's shows how much illumination, how far away...

Mr. Brox: We don't have to provide them, we've got to tell them bring it in.

Mr. Stringfellow: if you look in the automotive use section it clearly says: 'an automotive use area is to be lit from the periphery shining inward, not on the building shining outward.'

Dr. Ziarnowski: And the only other lighting I could find was under swimming pools, I didn't find lighting regulations that said about downcast per say in the code. We've been saying this right along, but I couldn't find anything. But as far as what Dollar General has it's like backwards, they come in and we say to them that you've got to have downcast lighting and they think what they're buying maybe downcast environmental lighting.

Mr. Genzel: There should be a site plan with a lighting post...

Mr. Stringfellow: I believe it did say that the lighting would be downcast and not extend to other properties.

Mr. Genzel: Mr. Ferguson did do an inspection of site plan requirements for Dollar General on the 26th of last month and he did the final inspection, he did the inspection of landscaping, so if you like we can pull out the plans from Dollar General and see what they're lighting features were and if they don't match that we could Code notify them that they have to change that lighting.

Discussion followed regarding the lighting at Dollar General.

Mr. Brox: Section 97-13 J: 'Materials and design of paving, lighting fixtures, blah, blah, blah, shall be of good appearance and easily maintained and indicative of their function.' Which means when we say show us what your light standards look like, bring in a picture of your light standards

Discussion followed about timing of lighting at Dollar General.

Mrs. Lucachik had pictures of shielded and unshielded lighting.

Mrs. Lucachik: Maybe on the checklist provide a box for lighting...

Mr. Stringfellow: And illumination patterns.

Mrs. Maghran: Is school property immune to our Codes or do they still have to follow under our rules?

Mr. Brox: They're exempt, because they're a State institution basically.

Dr. Ziarnowski: What happened to the old Town garage collapsing?

Mr. Genzel: I don't have an explanation yet. Fortunately no one was in there. Structural failure, they cabled it years ago and the block wall rolled out.

TOWN ATTORNEY – MR. KOBIOLKA

Mr. Kobiolka had nothing to report this evening.

NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Discussion followed.

Dr. Ziarnowski: I'll make a motion that we meet on the first Tuesday for a Work Session if we have an agenda on the other Tuesdays starting on October 2 at 7:00 PM.

Mrs. Lucachik: I'll second.

8

Mr. Chelus: Let's make a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Stringfellow: We have a letter from Mr. Brox requesting to continue as Town Planner, have we forwarded that to the Town Board?

Secretary Faulring: Not yet, because they won't take it this soon. I hold it until November.

Mr. Stringfellow: You will remind us to forward it or you will just forward it, whatever it takes?

Mr. Kobiolka: Well the budget had to be in August.

Secretary Faulring: He's already in our budget.

Mr. Brox: The request was made in August to be in for the budget.

Mr. Stringfellow: Is there anything else for this evening?

Being no further business Mr. Chelus made a motion to adjourn (9:00 PM), seconded by Mrs. Maghnan and carried.

DRAFT

Respectfully submitted,

Thelma Faulring
Secretary to the Board and Committees